country and furnishes them in its half yearly reports. But, it does not provide cause-wise statistics on sick units. According to the lastest RBI Report, 245575 industrial units in the small scale sector and 1536 industrial units in the non-small scale sector were reported sick as at the end of March, 1992. State-wise details of the sick industrial units in the eastern part of the country are given in Statement *(See* below).

(c) The various State Governments, including those in the eastern part of the country, have drawn up their plans for employment generation appropriate to their specific conditions, keeping in view the overall employment strategy laid down in the Eighth Plan Document.

Statement

State-wise statistics of sick industrial units in Small Scale and Non-Small Scale Sectors as at the end of March, 1992 *in the eastern part of the country*

State	No. of sick SSI units	No. of sick Non-SSI units
Assam . .	5317	7
Meghalaya . .	68	1
Mizoram . . .	1	—
Bihar . .	7823	44
Arunachal Pradesh .	50	2
West Bengal . .	32022	197
Nagaland . .	2039	1
Manipur . . .	2277	—
Orissa . . .	8415	37
Sikkim . . .	75	1
Tripura . . .	670	—

Regional imbalances in industrial development

3956. SHRI S. S. AHLUWALIA : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether it has been the policy of Government to eliminate regional imbalances in the matter of industrial development in the country;

(b) what are the State-wise details of industrial units set up since the Sixth Five Year Plan period alongwith details of investments made, indicating separately the share of entrepreneurs and FIs separately, in each category of industries ;

(c) the State-wise details of industries becoming sick since the Seventh Five Year Plan period and quantum of FIs funds lying blocked therein;

(d) the State-wise details of industrial units proposed to be set up in course of the current plan period upto 1996; and

(e) the details of steps taken, if any, to ensure near-uniform industrial growth of maximum regions of the country instead of its concentration in one or two States, as at present?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SMT. KRISHNA SAHI) : (a) and (e) With a view to bringing about regional dispersal of industries in the country, Government is operating a Growth Centre Scheme which is being implemented during the Eighth Five Year Plan period. Under the Scheme, these centres would be set up throughout the country each at a cost of Rs. 25.30 crores and would be provided with basic infrastructural facilities like power, water, telecommunication, etc. so as to attract industries to the centres.

(b) This information is not maintained Centrally.

(c) Two statements on State-wise number of sick units, one for the SSI sector (Statement I) and the other for non-SSI sector (Statement II) are enclosed *(See* below).

(d) A Statement indicating the number of LOIs, ILs for the period 1990—94 and the IEMs for july, 1991—March, 1994 is enclosed (Statement III).

Statement I

SSI Sick units (Amount in crores of Rupees)

State/Union Territory	No. of units	Amount O/s
Assam	5317	31.88
Meghalaya	68	0.50
Mizoram .	1	0.15
Bihar	7823	91.84
Arunachal Pradesh	50	0.36
West Bengal	32022	268.11
Nagaland	2039	4.98
Manipur .	2277	1.34
Orissa	8415	54.38
Sikkim	75	0.44
Tripura .	670	1.91
Andaman & Nicobar Islands	22	0.03
Uttar Pradesh .	34150	257.67
Delhi	4705	206.02
Punjab	5485	100.28
Haryana .	3467	73.96
Chandigarh	342	9.28
Jammu & Kashmir	769	7.89
Himachal Pradesh	1481	18.68
Rajasthan.	14420	67.00
Gujarat	6581	221.01

1	2	3
Maharashtra	20153	603.10
Daman & Diu .	41	2.63
Goa	1066	12.27
Dadra & Nagar Haveli	5.	0.64
Madhya Pradesh	22333	151.01
Andhra Pradesh	29586	270.51
Karnataka	17316	189.12
Tamil Nadu	9797	291.04
Kerala	14883	157.26
Pondicherry	216	5.58
TOTAL :	245575	3100.67

Statement II

Non-SSI Sick Units (Amount in crores of rupees)

State/Union Territory	No. of units	Amount O/S
Assam	7	5.06
Meghalaya	1	1.14
Bihar	44	105.84
Arunachal Pradesh .	2	10.62
West Bengal	197	822.08
Nagaland	1	4.88
Orissa	37	117.76
Sikkim	1	3.05
Uttar Pradesh .	109	377.15
Delhi	22	69.50
Punjab	32	92.08
Haryana .	55	180.94
Chandigarh	12	19.96
J&K	2	9.84
Himachal Pradesh	22	50.21
Rajasthan	54	143.76
Gujarat	155	651.01
Maharashtra	301	1,521.08
Daman & Diu .	1	4.08
Goa	5	7.21
D & N Haveli .	3	3.47
Madhya Pradesh	59	157.20
Andhra Pradesh	145	455.37
Karnataka	92	336.52
Tamil Nadu	124	335.01
Kerala	48	295.05
Pondicherry	5	6.68
TOTAL :	1536	5,786.55

Statement III

Name of the State/U.T.	Letter of latent to Licences Jan. 90 to Feb. 94	Indus-trial Jan. 90 Feb. 94	Industrial Entrepre-neurs' Memo-randa July 91 to Mar. 94
Andhra Pradesh	255	50	726
Arunachal Pradesh .	1	1	1
Assam	15	4	32
Andaman & Nicobar	—	—	4
Bihar .	29	15	126
Chandigarh .	3	1	12
Dadra & N. Haveli	5	1	205
Daman & Diu	8	2	139
Delhi .	17	11	306
Goa	36	10	79
Gujarat .	386	120	1858
Haryana	143	46	891
Himachal Pradesh .	43	9	132
Jammu & Kashmir .	10	2	22
Karnataka	170	60	473
Kerala .	47	13	108
Lakshwadeep	—	—	1

1	2	3	4
Madhya Pradesh	162	34	864
Maharashtra	528	193	2817
Manipur	—	1	—
Meghalaya	—•	—	3
Mizoram .	—	—	—
Nagaland	3	1	1
Orissa	34	15	89
Pondicherry	10	6	86
Punjab	96	42	803
Rajasthan	96	14	794
Sikkim .	1	—	8
Tamil Nadu .	325	124	917
Tripura	351	109	3
Uttar Pradesh			1478
West Bengal	77	26	454
Others	17	11	
TOTAL	2868	921	13432

Infrastructural devolopment project of Miyani, Porbandar

3957. SHRIMATI CHANDRIKA ABHINANDAN JAIN : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) the details of progress made so far with regard to integrated Infrastructural Development project of Miyani, Porbandar in Gujarat;

(b) whether Government have released the share capital of Rs. 2 crores for the project;

(c) if not, the reasons therefor;

(d) whether Government have received any suggestion/proposal from the State Government in this regard;

(e) if so, the details thereof; and

(f) the time by which it is likely to be finalised?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM) : (a) to (f) The Scheme of Integrated Infrastructural Development (including technological back-up services) for small scale industries in rural/backward areas was approved by Government in February, 94 and thereafter Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI) has been requested to process/appraise the proposals received from the State/UT Governments. The project proposal of Miyani, Porbandar (Gujarat) is one of the four projects appraised and recommended by SIDBI. The High Powered Committee constituted under the Scheme of Integrated Infrastructural Development (IID) considered there projects on 25-3-94 and approved the same. Subsequently, an amount of Rs. 1 ciore was sanctioned to SIDBI for release to the 4 projects. As per the Scheme, SIDBI would release the funds to the ap-pioved projects after completing the formalities, in stages, according to the progress of work. SIDBI is in correspondence with the project implementing authorities/State Governments in this regard, and further release of funds will be made on the basis of the recommendations of the SIDBI according to the progress of the project. The share of Government in the form of grant and the share of SIDBI in the form of loan is in the ratio of 2:3.

Trade corridor project with Singapore

3958. SHRI S. MUTHU MANI : Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government have received any representation from the Chief Minister of Tamil Nadu for setting up of a Trade Corridor at Madras with participation of Singapore Government;

(b) if so, whether Centre has approved ihe above proposal;

(c) whether is is a fact that such a Trade Corridor has been set up at Bangalore also with the participation of Singapore Government;

(d) what are the reasons for not granting approval to Trade Corridor at Madras ;

(e) whether the Centre has sought any clarifications from the State Government as, well as the Singapore Government for implementation of the above project; and

(f) if so, what are the details thereof?